REMARKS

Claims 13 -17 are now pending in the application. Claims 1 - 11 have been previously withdrawn pursuant to a restriction requirement. Claims 8 - 12, and 18 have been cancelled pursuant to this Response. Applicant has made minor amendments to the specification and claims to overcome the objections to the specification and rejections of the claims under 35 USC 102. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection(s) in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein in view of the allowed subject matter identified by the examiner in the Office Action of November 6, 2008.

SPECIFICATION

The specification stands objected to for certain informalities. Applicant(s) has amended the specification according to Examiner's suggestions. Specifically, the title has been amended to read:

SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE WITH IMPROVED ELECTROSTATIC TOLERANCE

Applicant respectfully represents that the amended title is now indicative of the invention to which the

claims are directed. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are respectfully

requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC §112

Claim 18 stands rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The claims have been amended to cancel claim 18.

060233.00025

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC §102

Claims 8-12 and 18 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the

alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Ludikhuize (U.S. Pat. No. 5,796,146). This

rejection is respectfully traversed.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner states that claims 13-17 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended claims 13 to include the limitations of the base claim and

intervening claim 12. Therefore, applicant respectfully asserts that independent claim 13 is now

placed in a condition for allowance. Because claims 14-17 depend from independent claim 13, these

claims include all of the limitations of amended claims 13. Therefore, applicant respectfully submits

claims 14-17 have also been placed in a condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds pf rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant(s) therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner

reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete

response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in

condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully

requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 723-0325.

Dated: February 13, 2009

/Gregory D. DeGrazia/ By:

Gregory D. Degrazia, Reg. No. 48,944

Howard & Howard Attorneys PLLC The Howard & Howard Center

450 West Fourth Street

Royal Oak, MI 48067-2557

(248) 723-0326

GDD/tsc

6